

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
GREENSBORO DIVISION

GRETCHEN S. STUART, M.D.; JAMES R.
DINGFELDER, M.D.; DAVID A GRIMES,
M.D.; AMY BRYANT, M.D.; SERINA
FLOYD, M.D.; DECKER & WATSON, INC.,
d/b/a PIEDMONT CAROLINA MEDICAL
CLINIC; PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF
CENTRAL NORTH CAROLINA; A
WOMAN'S CHOICE OF RALEIGH, INC.;
PLANNED PARENTHOOD HEALTH
SYSTEMS, INC.; TAKEY CRIST, M.D.;
And TAKEY CRIST, M.D., P.A., d/b/a
CRIST CLINIC FOR WOMEN, on behalf of
themselves and their patients seeking
abortions,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JANICE E. HUFF, M.D., in her official
capacity as President of the North Carolina
Medical Board; ROY COOPER, in his official
capacity as the Attorney General of North
Carolina; LANIER M. CANSLER, in his
official capacity as the Secretary of the North
Carolina Department of Health and Human
Services; JIM WOODALL, in his official
capacity as District Attorney ("DA") for
Prosecutorial District ("PD") 15B; TRACEY
E. CLINE, in her official capacity as DA for
PD 14; DOUG HENDERSON, in his official
capacity as DA for PD 18; BILLY WEST, in
his official capacity as DA for PD 12; C.
COLON WILLOUGHBY, in his official
capacity as DA for PD 10; BENJAMIN R.
DAVID, in his official capacity as DA for PD

CIVIL ACTION NO.
1:11-CV-00804

ANSWER OF THE
DEFENDANTS TO THE
PLAINTIFFS' THIRD
AMENDED COMPLAINT

5; JIM O'NEILL, in his official capacity as)
DA for PD 21; ERNIE LEE, in his official)
capacity as DA for PD 4; and their employees,)
agents and successors,)
)
Defendants.)

For their answer to the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel, state as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. For their response to the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 1 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, including footnote 1 thereof and the "citation sentence" that follows the first sentence of paragraph 1 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to their Third Amended Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief (the "Third Amended Complaint") for a statement of its terms, given that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint is a written document that speaks for itself. The defendants admit the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 1 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, including the citation sentence that follows the second sentence of paragraph 1 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint. For their response to the allegations contained in the third sentence of paragraph 1 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, including the citation sentence that follows the third sentence of paragraph 1 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended

Complaint, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011), a written document that speaks for itself. For their response to the allegations contained in the fourth sentence of paragraph 1 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011), a written document that speaks for itself.

2. For their response to the allegations contained in the last clause of the first sentence of paragraph 2 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, reading "which Plaintiffs challenge in this lawsuit," the defendants refer the plaintiffs to their Third Amended Complaint for a statement of its terms, given that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint is a written document that speaks for itself. For their response to the other allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 2 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, and for their response to the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 2 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011), a written document that speaks for itself. For their response to the allegations contained in the third sentence of paragraph 2 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to their Third Amended Complaint for a statement of

its terms, given that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint is a written document that speaks for itself.

3. For their response to the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 3 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants deny that N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85 requires any woman to submit involuntarily to an ultrasound or to allow anyone to use her body against her wishes to provide any State-mandated ideological information using images, sound and/or words, and refer the plaintiffs to Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011), a written document that speaks for itself. The defendants deny the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 3 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

4. For their response to the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 4 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011), a written documents that speaks for itself. The defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

5. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

6. The defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 6 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint that Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011, deprives any of the plaintiffs of any of their constitutional rights. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to their Third Amended Complaint for a statement of its terms, given that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint is a written document that speaks for itself.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. The allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint state conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required.

8. To the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint are factual allegations, the defendants deny said allegations. To the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint state conclusions of law alone, no responsive pleading is required in response to such an allegation.

9. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants do not challenge the venue of

this action in the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, Greensboro Division.

III. THE PLAINTIFFS

10. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

11. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

12. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

13. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

14. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

15. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

16. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

17. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

18. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

19. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

20. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

21. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in the first

sentence of paragraph 21 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations. In response to the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 21 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint that the plaintiffs will be required in the future to perform abortions in conformity with Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011), the defendants admit that the plaintiffs are now required and will continue in the future to be required to conduct their professional, business and commercial activities in compliance with all applicable laws, including Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011). In response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants deny the allegation that the plaintiffs will face criminal penalties and refer the plaintiffs to Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011), for a statement of its terms, given that Session Law 2011-405 (House Bill 854), N.C. Gen. Stat. §§90-21.80, *et seq.* (2011), is a written document that speaks for itself.

22. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to their Third Amended Complaint for a statement of its terms, given that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint is a written document that speaks for itself.

IV. THE DEFENDANTS

23. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, Ralph C. Loomis, M.D., is the President of the North Carolina Medical Board and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Ralph Loomis, M.D., in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-14(a)(2), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statute and to the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

24. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, Roy Cooper, is the Attorney General of North Carolina and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Roy Cooper in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.88, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statute and to the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms,

meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

25. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, Albert Delia, is the Acting Secretary of the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Albert Delia in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of 10A N.C.A.C. Rule 14E.0101, *et seq.*, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said administrative code provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said administrative code provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

26. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, Jim Woodall, is the District Attorney for Prosecutorial District number 15B and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Jim Woodall in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. CONST. art. IV, §18(1) and N.C. Gen.

Stat. §§7A-60 and 7A-61, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said constitutional and statutory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said constitutional and statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

27. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, Leon Stanbeck, is the District Attorney for Prosecutorial District number 14 and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Leon Stanbeck in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. CONST. art. IV, §18(1) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §§7A-60 and 7A-61, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said constitutional and statutory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said constitutional and statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

28. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, Doug Henderson, is the District Attorney for Prosecutorial District number 18 and

that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Doug Henderson in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. CONST. art. IV, §18(1) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §§7A-60 and 7A-61, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said constitutional and statutory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said constitutional and statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

29. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, Billy West, is the District Attorney for Prosecutorial District number 12 and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Billy West in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. CONST. art. IV, §18(1) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §§7A-60 and 7A-61, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said constitutional and statutory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said constitutional and

statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

30. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, C. Colon Willoughby, Jr., is the District Attorney for Prosecutorial District number 10 and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue C. Colon Willoughby, Jr., in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. CONST. art. IV, §18(1) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §§7A-60 and 7A-61, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said constitutional and statutory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said constitutional and statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

31. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, Benjamin R. David, is the District Attorney for Prosecutorial District number 5 and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Benjamin R. David in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which

characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. CONST. art. IV, §18(1) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §§7A-60 and 7A-61, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said constitutional and statutory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said constitutional and statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

32. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant, Jim O'Neill, is the District Attorney for Prosecutorial District number 21 and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Jim O'Neill in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. CONST. art. IV, §18(1) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §§7A-60 and 7A-61, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said constitutional and statutory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said constitutional and statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

33. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants admit that the defendant,

Ernie Lee, is the District Attorney for Prosecutorial District number 4 and that the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint purports to sue Ernie Lee in his official capacity only. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. CONST. art. IV, §18(1) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §§7A-60 and 7A-61, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said constitutional and statutory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said constitutional and statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

V. STATUTORY FRAMEWORK

Current Statutory and Regulatory Requirements for the Performance of Abortions

34. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.13 and 10A N.C.A.C. Rule 14E.0305(a), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory and regulatory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory and regulatory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

35. The defendants admit the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 35 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint. For their response to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of 10A N.C.A.C. Rule 14E.0101, *et seq.*, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said regulatory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said regulatory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

36. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.13, 10A N.C.A.C. Rule 14E.0304(d) and 10A N.C.A.C. Rule 14E.0305(d), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory and regulatory provisions and to the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory and regulatory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

37. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.82, N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85 and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.88, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory

provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

The Act's "Informed Consent to Abortion" Requirement

38. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.82 and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.82(1), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

39. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.82(1), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statute and the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

40. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.82(2), the defendants refer the

plaintiffs to said statute and the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

41. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 41 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.83, N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.83(a)(2) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.84, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

42. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 42 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.81(8), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statute and the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

43. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 43 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.82(1), N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.82(2), N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.82(3) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.82(4), the defendants

refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

44. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 44 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.90(a), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions are written documents that speak for themselves.

The Act's "Display of Real-Time View Requirement"

45. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 45 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85, N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a), N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(1), N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(2), N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(3), N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(4), N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(5) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(6), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

46. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 46 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.81(4) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.81(9), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

47. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 47 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(3), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

48. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 48 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(2) and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(4), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

49. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 49 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a)(2), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statute and the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

50. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 50 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85 and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(b), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

51. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 51 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statute and the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

Liability Under the Act

52. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 52 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.88 and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.88(a), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

53. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 53 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.88(b), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statute and the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

54. For their response to the allegations contained in paragraph 54 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint (including its citation sentence), which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-14, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statute and the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

55. The defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 55 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, including its citation sentence. For their response to the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 55 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint (including its citation sentence), which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §14-44, N.C. Gen. Stat. §14-45.1 and N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.88(a), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statutory provisions and the case law interpreting them for a statement of their terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statutory provisions and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves.

VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Abortion Background

56. The defendants admit that, depending upon the patient, the physician, the location and the available medical resources, a legal abortion can be a very safe medical procedure with rare complications. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 56 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

57. The defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 57 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

58. The defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 58 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

59. The defendants do not know what the plaintiffs mean by their use of the undefined and subjective term "vast" and are therefore unable to respond to that aspect of the plaintiffs' allegations, but otherwise admit the allegations contained in paragraph 59 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

60. The defendants admit the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 60 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and further admit that, at some gestational age, it is not possible to make fetal heart auscultation audible to a pregnant woman. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 60 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

61. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in paragraph 61 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

62. The defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 62 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

The Impact of the Display of Real-Time View
Requirement on the Practice of Medicine

63. The defendants admit that: in providing medical care, healthcare providers have an obligation to comply with standards of medical ethics; standards of medical ethics generally provide that the physician may not act upon the patient without his or her consent; standards of medical ethics generally provide that the physician must respect the patient's autonomy; and standards of medical ethics generally provide that the physician must act in the patient's best interests. The defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 63 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

64. The defendants admit that ultrasounds are performed prior to abortions to determine the presence of an intrauterine pregnancy and to determine the gestational age of the fetus. The defendants further admit that it is acceptable medical practice for a trained but noncertified individual to perform such an ultrasound, provided the results are reviewed by the physician. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 64 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

65. The defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 65 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

Other Impacts of the Display of Real-Time View Requirement
on Women Seeking Abortions and Abortion Providers

66. The defendants admit that the Act requires that physicians, qualified professions or qualified technicians provide a woman considering an abortion with certain information about the fetus. The defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 66 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

67. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 67 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

68. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 68 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and therefore deny said allegations.

69. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 69 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

70. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 70 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

71. The defendants admit that an ultrasound procedure requires the involvement of the patient. The defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 71 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

72. The defendants admit that the Act may require a woman who had an ultrasound before telling the physician that she wanted an abortion to have a

second ultrasound. The defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 72 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

73. The defendants admit that, absent the Act, some physicians may not display ultrasound images or describe them to a woman seeking an abortion. The defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 73 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

74. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 74 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

The Act's Failure to Provide Adequate Notice of its Requirements

75. The defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 75 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint. In response to the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 75 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the defendants refer the plaintiffs to the defendants' responses set forth in the next several paragraphs of this Second Amended Answer.

76. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 76 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

77. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 77 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

78. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 78 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

79. For their response to the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 79 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, including its citation sentence, which characterize the terms, meaning, scope and purpose of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-21.85(a), the defendants refer the plaintiffs to said statute and the case law interpreting it for a statement of its terms, meaning, scope and purpose, inasmuch as said statute and said cases are written documents that speak for themselves. The defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 79 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

Irreparable Harms

80. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 80 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

81. The defendants admit that compliance with the Act will cause some measure of delay in obtaining an abortion. The defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 81 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

82. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 82 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

83. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 83 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Due Process of Law)

84. The defendants here incorporate their responses set forth above to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 83 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

85. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 85 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(First Amendment Rights of Physicians)

86. The defendants here incorporate their responses set forth above to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 83 and 85 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

87. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 87 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Fourteenth Amendment Right to Substantive Due Process)

88. The defendants here incorporate their responses set forth above to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 83, 85 and 87 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

89. The defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 89 of the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The defendants plead the plaintiffs' lack of standing in complete bar to all of their claims against the defendants that are based on the purported constitutional rights of abortion (or other) patients.

WHEREFORE, the defendants respectfully pray that the Court dismiss the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and each and every claim for relief asserted therein; that the Court deny the plaintiffs' prayer for declaratory relief in its entirety; that the Court deny the plaintiff's prayer for injunctive relief in its entirety; that the Court deny the plaintiffs' prayer for an award of attorneys' fees for the plaintiffs; and that the Court grant the defendants such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

The defendants further respectfully request that all issues of fact presented by the plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint be tried by a jury.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of September 2012.

ROY COOPER
Attorney General

/s/ Thomas J. Ziko
Thomas J. Ziko
Senior Deputy Attorney General
N.C. State Bar No. 8577
tziko@ncdoj.gov

/s/ I. Faison Hicks

I. Faison Hicks
Special Deputy Attorney General
N.C. State Bar No. 10672
fhicks@ncdoj.gov

/s/ Stephanie Brennan

Stephanie Brennan
Assistant Attorney General
N.C. State Bar No. 35955
sbrennan@ncdoj.gov

North Carolina Department of Justice
114 West Edenton Street
Post Office Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-0629
Phone: 919/716-6900
Fax: 919/716-6763
Attorneys for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that, on the 28th day of September 2012, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Office of the Clerk of Court of the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina using the CM/ECF system, which will automatically send notification of such filing to all registered CM/ECF participants.

/s/ Stephanie Brennan
Stephanie Brennan